International Journal of Science and Research Archive

eISSN: 2582-8185

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/ijsra

Journal homepage: https://ijsra.net/

(Review Article)

Bridging the gap: Using robotics to enhance emotional and social learning in K-12 education

Nancy Mohd Al Hamad [1], Ololade Elizabeth Adewusi [2], Chika Chioma Unachukwu [3], Blessing Osawaru [4] and Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom [5,*]

[1] *Bridge the Gap, Dubai UAE.*
[2] *Independent Researcher UK.*
[3] *Ministry of Education, Lagos.*
[4] *International School, Benin, Edo State, Nigeria.*
[5] *National Examinations Council, Nigeria.*

International Journal of Science and Research Archive, 2024, 11(01), 231–243

Publication history: Received on 01 December 2023; revised on 10 January 2024; accepted on 12 January 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/ijsra.2024.11.1.0025

Abstract

This research explores the integration of robotics into K-12 education to enhance emotional and social learning (ESL). The theoretical framework draws from constructivism, social learning theory, experiential learning, socio-cultural theory, and emotional intelligence models. Implementation strategies include curriculum design, teacher training, student engagement, and ethical considerations. Challenges encompass ethical dilemmas, access disparities, and socio-cultural sensitivity. The future of robotics in education involves technological advances, global collaboration, and adaptive learning environments. The conclusion emphasizes the transformative potential of robotics in cultivating well-rounded individuals with technical proficiency and heightened socio-emotional skills. As the educational landscape evolves, the integration of robotics emerges as a dynamic force shaping a generation prepared for the complexities of the 21st century.

Keywords: Robotics; K-12 education; ESL; Curriculum integration; Socio-emotional development

1. Introduction

In the dynamic landscape of K-12 education, the pursuit of holistic student development has become an imperative goal. Beyond the traditional emphasis on academic achievement, contemporary educators and policymakers increasingly recognize the profound significance of nurturing emotional intelligence and social skills in young learners. The evolving nature of the globalized society demands that students not only excel in academic subjects but also navigate complex interpersonal relationships, cultivate resilience, and engage empathetically with diverse perspectives (Hoerr, 2016; Mirra, 2018; Tichnor-Wagner, Parkhouse, Glazier, & Cain, 2019). However, the conventional educational framework often falls short of adequately addressing these socio-emotional dimensions, leaving a palpable gap in the holistic development of students (Chan, 2023; Fruja Amthor & Roxas, 2016).

This research explores innovative pathways to bridge this crucial gap by delving into the transformative potential of robotics in K-12 education. Robotics, once confined to industrial and scientific domains, has emerged as a promising tool in the educational arsenal. Beyond its technical applications, robotics holds the promise of fostering emotional and social learning (ESL) in students, providing them with a unique platform to acquire and apply essential socio-emotional skills (Kewalramani, Allen, Leif, & Ng, 2023; V. Lin, Yeh, & Chen, 2022; Warren, 2023). This paper seeks to navigate this uncharted territory, investigating how the integration of robotics into K-12 education can be leveraged to enhance

* Corresponding author: Onyebuchi Nneamaka Chisom

emotional intelligence, social skills, and overall socio-emotional development. Traditional education models, while successful in imparting academic knowledge, have historically struggled to address the multifaceted needs of students. As classrooms become more diverse, with students bringing a spectrum of backgrounds, experiences, and learning styles, the demand for a more inclusive and comprehensive educational approach has intensified. Emotional intelligence, encompassing self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills, is increasingly recognized as a critical determinant of success in both personal and professional spheres (Chernyshenko, Kankaraš, & Drasgow, 2018; Stowell, 2017). The ability to collaborate, communicate effectively, and navigate interpersonal relationships is pivotal in preparing students for the challenges of the 21st century (Mahmud, 2019).

This research aims to critically examine the potential of robotics as an innovative pedagogical tool for fostering emotional and social learning in K-12 students. By investigating the intersection of robotics and socio-emotional development, the study seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on educational reform. Understanding the mechanisms through which robotics can be effectively integrated into the educational landscape to address socio-emotional learning not only enriches the theoretical foundation of academic research but also holds the promise of practical applications that can significantly impact the lives of students.

To guide this investigation, the study poses the following research questions:

- How can robotics be effectively incorporated into K-12 education to enhance emotional intelligence and social skills?
- What pedagogical frameworks and theoretical perspectives support the integration of robotics for emotional and social learning?
- What are the potential benefits and challenges associated with the use of robotics in fostering socio-emotional development in diverse student populations?

The significance of this research extends beyond theoretical inquiry. It holds the potential to inform educational practitioners, policymakers, and researchers about the transformative possibilities inherent in leveraging robotics for socio-emotional learning. As the educational landscape continues to evolve, understanding the role of robotics in bridging the gap between academic knowledge and socio-emotional development becomes crucial for fostering a generation of students equipped to thrive in an interconnected and rapidly changing world.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

2.1. Literature Review

2.1.1. Emotional and Social Learning in K-12 Education

The recognition of ESL as an integral component of K-12 education has gained prominence in recent years. The research underscores the importance of nurturing skills such as self-awareness, self-regulation, interpersonal communication, and empathy as fundamental to students' holistic development. Traditional educational models, primarily focused on cognitive development, often fall short in addressing the complex socio-emotional needs of students (Lobczowski, 2020; Mondi, Giovanelli, & Reynolds, 2021). The inability to navigate emotions, communicate effectively, and establish meaningful connections impedes students' overall well-being and readiness for future challenges (Scott, 2005). Consequently, there is a growing call for innovative approaches to embed ESL into the educational fabric.

Robotics, once confined to industrial and scientific applications, has found a new frontier in education (Hartmann, Baumgartner, & Kaltenbrunner, 2021; Schranz, Umlauft, Sende, & Elmenreich, 2020). The literature reveals a spectrum of applications, ranging from enhancing STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) education to cultivating problem-solving skills. The interactive and hands-on nature of robotics engages students in experiential learning, fostering a deeper understanding of abstract concepts. Beyond technical skills, robotics has demonstrated the potential to cultivate creativity, critical thinking, and collaboration (Eguchi, 2017; Sen, Ay, & Kiray, 2021). This shift from a traditional, didactic approach to a more interactive and dynamic learning environment aligns with the evolving educational paradigm.

2.1.2. Intersection of Robotics and Emotional/Social Learning

As the demand for socio-emotional skills in students grows, researchers have begun exploring the intersection between robotics and ESL (Salah, Abdelfattah, Alhalbusi, & Al Mukhaini, 2023). Studies indicate that robotics can catalyze the development of emotional intelligence by providing a platform for emotional expression, regulation, and recognition).

For instance, robots designed to display emotions can elicit empathetic responses from students, creating opportunities for discussions on emotions and interpersonal dynamics (Alves-Oliveira, Sequeira, Melo, Castellano, & Paiva, 2019; Paiva, Leite, Boukricha, & Wachsmuth, 2017). Additionally, collaborative robotics projects necessitate effective communication and teamwork, thereby contributing to the development of crucial social skills (Yuen et al., 2014). However, while initial findings are promising, there remains a notable gap in the literature regarding the systematic integration of robotics into ESL frameworks within K-12 education (Jawaid et al., 2020).

While existing research highlights the potential of robotics to enhance ESL, there are notable gaps that warrant further investigation. Firstly, there is a lack of consensus on the most effective pedagogical approaches and frameworks for integrating robotics into ESL curricula. The current literature tends to be fragmented, with few comprehensive models guiding the implementation of robotics in the context of socio-emotional development. Secondly, there is a need for studies that address the impact of robotics on diverse learner populations, considering factors such as cultural backgrounds, learning styles, and individual differences. Finally, ethical considerations in using robots for emotional and social learning have yet to be thoroughly explored. Understanding the ethical implications, including issues of privacy, emotional well-being, and equitable access, is essential for responsible and inclusive implementation (Cross, Hortensius, & Wykowska, 2019; De Greeff & Belpaeme, 2015; P. Lin, Abney, & Bekey, 2014; Tolksdorf, Siebert, Zorn, Horwath, & Rohlfing, 2021).

In navigating the existing literature, it becomes evident that while there is a burgeoning interest in the potential synergy between robotics and emotional/social learning, a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms, challenges, and best practices is still in its infancy. This study aims to address these gaps by providing a thorough exploration of the integration of robotics into K-12 education for the enhancement of emotional and social learning. Through this inquiry, we aspire to contribute not only to the academic discourse surrounding robotics in education but also to inform practical strategies for educators and policymakers seeking to enrich the socio-emotional dimensions of the learning experience.

2.2. Theoretical Framework

The integration of robotics into K-12 education for the purpose of enhancing emotional and social learning (ESL) aligns with several established educational theories. The theoretical underpinnings provide a conceptual scaffold, guiding the development and implementation of robotics-infused ESL curricula.

2.2.1. Constructivism

Constructivism, as articulated by theorists such as Piaget and Vygotsky, posits that the learner actively constructs knowledge through interaction with the environment and social experiences. In the context of robotics, the hands-on, experiential nature of working with robots aligns with the principles of constructivist learning. As students engage with robotics projects, they are not passive recipients of information but active participants in the learning process. The collaborative and problem-solving aspects of robotics projects promote the construction of meaning and the development of socio-emotional skills within a social context (Cholewinski, 2009; Devi, 2019; Venter, 2001).

2.2.2. Social Learning Theory

Social Learning Theory, advanced by Bandura, emphasizes the role of observation and modeling in the acquisition of new behaviors. The integration of robotics into ESL provides a tangible and interactive medium through which students can observe and model social and emotional behaviors. For instance, humanoid robots programmed to display emotions can serve as models for recognizing and responding to emotional cues. The collaborative nature of robotics projects also allows students to observe and learn from their peers, fostering a shared understanding of emotional and social dynamics (Grusec, 1994; Rosenthal & Zimmerman, 2014; Rumjaun & Narod, 2020).

2.2.3. Experiential Learning

Experiential learning theories, notably the work of Kolb, posit that learning is most effective when it involves a cyclical process of concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation (Kolb, 2014). Robotics projects provide a rich environment for experiential learning as students engage in hands-on activities, reflect on their experiences, conceptualize abstract principles, and apply their understanding in subsequent iterations. This cyclical process not only reinforces technical knowledge but also facilitates the development of emotional and social competencies through real-world applications (Abdulwahed & Nagy, 2009; Bergsteiner, Avery, & Neumann, 2010; Bower, 2013).

2.2.4. Socio-Cultural Theory

Socio-cultural theory, as developed by Vygotsky, underscores the importance of social interactions and cultural context in the learning process. In the context of robotics and ESL, collaborative projects create a socio-cultural environment where students work together, share ideas, and negotiate meaning. The interactions with both the technology and peers provide a context for the co-construction of knowledge and the development of social skills. Moreover, the use of robots as mediators in learning activities aligns with Vygotsky's notion of tools and signs facilitating cognitive development (Allahyar & Nazari, 2012; Mahn & John-Steiner, 2012; Panhwar, Ansari, & Ansari, 2016).

2.2.5. Emotional Intelligence Theories

The integration of robotics into ESL also draws from emotional intelligence theories, particularly the model proposed by Salovey and Mayer (1990), which defines emotional intelligence as the ability to perceive, understand, manage, and use emotions effectively. Robotics projects can be designed to target specific components of emotional intelligence, allowing students to practice and develop these skills in a controlled and supportive environment. The use of robots as facilitators for emotional expression and regulation aligns with the broader goal of nurturing emotional intelligence in learners (Aminoleslami, 2021; Eloranta, 2021).

In synthesizing these educational theories, the theoretical framework for this study posits that the integration of robotics into K-12 education can be guided by constructivist principles, leverages social learning dynamics, aligns with experiential learning processes, operates within socio-cultural contexts, and addresses the components of emotional intelligence. This multifaceted theoretical foundation provides a comprehensive lens through which to explore the potential of robotics in enhancing emotional and social learning, informing the development of effective pedagogical strategies for educators and policymakers.

3. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework for integrating robotics into K-12 education for the enhancement of emotional and social learning seeks to provide a structured and comprehensive approach. This model of integration encompasses various components that synergistically contribute to the overarching goal of fostering socio-emotional development in students.

At the core of the conceptual framework is the development of a robotics-embedded curriculum that seamlessly integrates into existing K -12 educational structures. This curriculum incorporates both technical and socio-emotional learning objectives, ensuring a balanced and holistic educational experience. Lessons are designed to teach robotics programming and mechanics and explicitly address emotional intelligence, interpersonal communication, and teamwork. By infusing robotics into subjects such as science, technology, and mathematics, students engage in meaningful, real-world applications that enhance their technical and socio-emotional proficiencies.

The success of the integration model relies heavily on the role of educators as facilitators and guides. Professional development programs are essential to equip teachers with the necessary skills and knowledge to integrate robotics into their teaching practices effectively. Teachers play a pivotal role in fostering a positive and inclusive learning environment, facilitating discussions on emotional and social topics, and guiding students through collaborative robotics projects. Their understanding of both the technical and socio-emotional aspects of the curriculum ensures a seamless and purposeful integration of robotics into the classroom (Akgunduz & Mesutoglu, 2021; Bers, Seddighin, & Sullivan, 2013; Chalmers, 2017; Rockland et al., 2010).

A key element of the conceptual framework involves the implementation of collaborative robotics projects. These projects provide students with opportunities to work together, share ideas, and solve problems collectively. The collaborative nature of these projects not only enhances technical skills but also nurtures social skills such as communication, teamwork, and conflict resolution. Robots act as mediators, encouraging students to interact with each other and the technology in ways that promote the development of emotional intelligence and interpersonal competencies (Denis & Hubert, 2001; Jordan & McDaniel Jr, 2014; Stork, 2020).

The model incorporates structured mechanisms for reflection and feedback, fostering metacognition and self-awareness in students. After engaging in robotics projects, students are prompted to reflect on their experiences, both technically and emotionally. Reflection activities may include journaling, group discussions, or self-assessments. Additionally, a feedback loop is established where teachers provide constructive feedback on both the technical aspects of the robotics work and the students' socio-emotional development. This reflective process contributes to a deeper understanding of oneself and others, reinforcing the connections between technical and socio-emotional learning (Jones, Lisciandro, & Olds, 2016).

A dual assessment approach is proposed to measure the effectiveness of the integration model. Technical assessments evaluate students' proficiency in robotics programming and mechanics. In contrast, emotional intelligence assessments gauge their development in areas such as empathy, self-awareness, and interpersonal skills. By combining both types of assessments, a comprehensive understanding of students' growth is obtained. This data-driven approach informs ongoing curriculum refinement and ensures that both technical and socio-emotional objectives are met. The model underscores the importance of inclusive design, recognizing the diverse needs and backgrounds of students. Robotics projects are designed to be inclusive, allowing all students, regardless of ability or background, to participate actively. Moreover, considerations of accessibility ensure that the integration of robotics into K-12 education is equitable, addressing potential disparities in resources and opportunities. This inclusivity extends to the design of emotional intelligence components, recognizing and respecting the individual differences in how students experience and express emotions.

4. Implementation Strategies

4.1. Curriculum Design

The successful integration of robotics into K-12 education for the enhancement of emotional and social learning begins with thoughtful curriculum design. The curriculum should strike a balance between technical content and socio-emotional learning objectives. It should be aligned with existing educational standards while incorporating robotics projects that explicitly address emotional intelligence, interpersonal skills, and teamwork. The curriculum design process involves collaboration between educators, curriculum developers, and experts in both robotics and socio-emotional development to ensure a cohesive and comprehensive learning experience (Gürkanlı, 2018).

4.2. Teacher Training

The pivotal role of educators in facilitating the integration of robotics and ESL necessitates comprehensive teacher training programs. These programs should cover both technical aspects of robotics and pedagogical strategies for fostering socio-emotional development. Workshops, seminars, and ongoing professional development opportunities can empower teachers to navigate the integration of robotics into their classrooms effectively. Training should include modules on creating an inclusive learning environment, facilitating discussions on emotional and social topics, and guiding students through collaborative robotics projects. By investing in teacher training, educational institutions ensure that educators are well-equipped to serve as effective facilitators of this innovative educational approach (Abdulwahed & Nagy, 2009; Adelekea & Onyebuchib, 2023; Education, 2013; Ejiwale, 2013; Olanike S, Asogwa, Njideka M, RE, & Temiloluwa O, 2023).

4.3. Student Engagement Strategies

To maximize the impact of robotics on ESL, it is crucial to employ strategies that enhance student engagement. Robotics projects should be designed to capture students' interest and provide opportunities for meaningful exploration. Incorporating real-world challenges and applications fosters intrinsic motivation, encouraging students to invest time and effort in their projects. Additionally, allowing students to choose or customize their projects promotes a sense of ownership and autonomy, further motivating them to participate actively in the learning process. Strategies such as gamification, project-based learning, and interactive demonstrations contribute to a dynamic and engaging robotics learning environment (Eguchi, 2017; Ewim, 2023; Hinton, 2017).

4.4. Cross-Disciplinary Integration

Robust integration strategies involve weaving robotics projects across various disciplines within the curriculum. By connecting robotics to subjects such as science, technology, engineering, mathematics, and even humanities, students experience the interdisciplinary nature of real-world problem-solving. For instance, a robotics project could involve designing a robot to address a social issue, requiring students to apply both technical and socio-emotional skills. This cross-disciplinary approach not only reinforces the relevance of robotics but also emphasizes the interconnectedness of technical and humanistic knowledge, contributing to a well-rounded educational experience (Burke & Lehane, 2023; Sullivan, Strawhacker, & Bers, 2017).

4.5. Community and Industry Partnerships

Collaboration with community organizations and industry partners enhances the implementation of robotics in K-12 education. Partnerships can provide resources, expertise, and real-world context for robotics projects. Industry professionals can serve as mentors, offering insights into the practical applications of robotics and the socio-emotional skills valued in the workforce. Community engagement initiatives, such as robotics competitions or showcases, create opportunities for students to share their work and interact with a broader audience. These partnerships not only enrich the learning experience but also bridge the gap between classroom learning and real-world applications.

4.6. Flexible Learning Environments

The implementation of robotics and ESL integration benefits from flexible learning environments that accommodate diverse learning styles and preferences. Recognizing that students have varied strengths and interests, educators can create a range of learning pathways within the robotics curriculum. This flexibility allows students to explore aspects of robotics that align with their passions, whether in programming, design, or team collaboration. Additionally, fostering a supportive and inclusive classroom culture encourages students to take risks, express themselves emotionally, and collaborate effectively (Alimisis, 2019; Liu, 2013).

4.7. Assessment and Feedback Mechanisms

A robust assessment and feedback system is integral to gauging the effectiveness of the integration and informing ongoing improvements. Technical assessments, such as coding proficiency and robotics project completion, provide insight into students' technical skills. Simultaneously, assessments of emotional intelligence through reflective essays, group evaluations, or standardized instruments offer a comprehensive view of socio-emotional development. Regular feedback loops involving both teachers and peers guide students in refining their technical and socio-emotional competencies. The data gathered from assessments and feedback mechanisms contribute to the iterative refinement of the curriculum and implementation strategies (Jennings & Greenberg, 2009; McGaghie, Issenberg, Petrusa, & Scalese, 2010; Peterson, Dumont, Lafuente, & Law, 2018).

4.8. Inclusive Design and Accessibility

Implementing robotics in K-12 education must prioritize inclusivity and accessibility. The curriculum and learning materials should be designed with diverse learner populations in mind, considering factors such as cultural backgrounds, learning styles, and abilities. This inclusivity extends to the design of robotics projects, ensuring that all students, regardless of background or ability, can actively participate. Moreover, schools should address potential disparities in resources and opportunities, striving for equitable access to robotics education. An inclusive approach ensures that the benefits of robotics integration extend to all students, fostering a more diverse and supportive learning community (Anwar, Bascou, Menekse, & Kardgar, 2019; Encarnação et al., 2017).

4.9. Ethical Considerations and Guidelines

The implementation of robotics in education must be guided by ethical considerations to ensure the well-being and privacy of students. Clear guidelines should be established regarding the use of emotional data collected by robots, addressing concerns related to student privacy and emotional well-being. Educators and policymakers should develop ethical standards for the design and deployment of robotic systems in the classroom. Additionally, students should be educated about the ethical implications of interacting with robots, promoting responsible and mindful engagement (M Smakman, Berket, & Konijn, 2020; Matthijs Smakman, Vogt, & Konijn, 2021).

5. Challenges and Considerations

5.1. Ethical Considerations

The integration of robotics into K-12 education for enhancing emotional and social learning brings forth a myriad of ethical considerations. Chief among these is the responsible use of emotional data collected by robots. Educators and policymakers must establish clear guidelines on how emotional information is gathered, stored, and utilized. Concerns about student privacy, consent, and the potential emotional impact of interacting with robots necessitate a thoughtful and transparent ethical framework. Striking a balance between leveraging emotional data for educational purposes and safeguarding the well-being of students is a complex challenge that requires ongoing attention and scrutiny (P. Lin et al., 2014).

5.2. Access and Equity

Ensuring equitable access to robotics education poses a significant challenge. Disparities in resources, both technological and financial, can create barriers for some schools and students. The implementation of robotics in affluent schools may outpace that in less privileged institutions, exacerbating existing educational inequalities. To address this challenge, policymakers and educators must actively work towards providing equal access to robotics education, considering issues of funding, infrastructure, and teacher training. Collaborative efforts with external organizations, community partnerships, and targeted initiatives can play a crucial role in mitigating these access disparities (Ihsan, 2023; Pedro, Subosa, Rivas, & Valverde, 2019; Yi, 2019).

5.3. Socio-Cultural Sensitivity

The socio-cultural diversity of student populations introduces a challenge in designing robotics projects and curricula that are sensitive to varied cultural backgrounds. Emotions, expressions, and interpersonal dynamics can be culturally nuanced, requiring careful consideration to avoid unintentional biases or cultural insensitivity. Educators must engage in ongoing professional development that includes cultural competence training. Additionally, involving diverse voices in the development of robotics projects and curricula helps ensure that the learning experience is inclusive, respectful, and reflective of the cultural diversity within the classroom (Louie, Björling, Kuo, & Alves-Oliveira, 2022; Mohammed & ‘Nell’Watson, 2019).

5.4. Emotional Impact and Well-being

While the aim is to leverage robotics for positive emotional and social learning experiences, there exists the potential for unintended emotional consequences. Interactions with robots, especially those designed to display emotions, may impact students differently based on their personalities, experiences, and emotional sensitivities. Educators must be attuned to the emotional well-being of students, recognizing signs of distress or discomfort. Clear protocols for addressing emotional challenges, such as debriefing sessions or counselling support, should be in place to ensure that the emotional impact of robotics interactions is consistently monitored and addressed (Rechtschaffen, 2014; Rodriguez et al., 2020).

5.5. Teacher Preparedness and Professional Development

The successful integration of robotics into ESL relies heavily on teacher preparedness and ongoing professional development. Many educators may not have prior experience with robotics or the pedagogical strategies required to address socio-emotional development effectively. Providing comprehensive and sustained professional development programs is essential to equip teachers with the skills, knowledge, and confidence to navigate the complexities of integrating robotics into their classrooms. Ensuring that educators feel supported and empowered in this process is crucial for the long-term success of the implementation (Biddy et al., 2021; Jacobsen, Clifford, & Friesen, 2002; Kopcha et al., 2017).

5.6. Gender Disparities

Gender disparities in STEM fields persist, and the integration of robotics into K-12 education may inadvertently perpetuate these disparities. Research indicates that girls, in particular, may face cultural and societal barriers in pursuing STEM-related activities. Educators must be vigilant in fostering an inclusive environment where all students, regardless of gender, feel encouraged and supported in participating in robotics projects. Additionally, curricular design should avoid reinforcing gender stereotypes and ensure that examples and projects are inclusive and appealing to students of all genders (Azunna, 2020; Papadakis & Kalogiannakis, 2020).

5.7. Assessment of Socio-Emotional Skills

Assessing socio-emotional skills presents a unique challenge. Unlike traditional academic subjects, the evaluation of emotional intelligence, interpersonal communication, and teamwork is less straightforward. Standardized assessments may not capture the nuanced development of these skills. Developing reliable and valid assessment methods that align with the integration of robotics and ESL requires ongoing research and collaboration among educators, psychologists, and assessment experts. Striking a balance between qualitative and quantitative assessments can provide a more holistic understanding of students' socio-emotional growth (Clarke-Midura, Silvis, Shumway, Lee, & Kozlowski, 2021; Scaradozzi, Screpanti, & Cesaretti, 2019).

5.8. Resource Allocation and Funding

The integration of robotics into education demands resources for training, technology, and ongoing support. However, budget constraints and competing priorities may limit the availability of these resources. Policymakers and school administrators must strategically allocate funds to support the implementation of robotics programs, ensuring that both affluent and under-resourced schools have access. Collaboration with external organizations, grant opportunities, and advocacy for funding dedicated to robotics in education can help address resource challenges (Olsen, Sofka, & Grimpe, 2016).

5.9. Long-term Sustainability

The sustainability of robotics integration into ESL programs poses a challenge in terms of continued support, updates, and scalability. As educational landscapes evolve, ensuring the longevity and adaptability of robotics programs requires strategic planning. Establishing a sustainable model involves integrating robotics into the broader educational framework, aligning it with long-term educational goals, and fostering a culture of innovation and continuous improvement. Regular evaluations, stakeholder engagement, and responsiveness to emerging technologies contribute to the enduring success of robotics integration initiatives (Back, 1996; Bozhinoski, Di Ruscio, Malavolta, Pelliccione, & Crnkovic, 2019; Kriegman, Cheney, & Bongard, 2018).

6. Future Directions

6.1. Research Implications

The integration of robotics into K-12 education for the enhancement of emotional and social learning opens up a myriad of avenues for future research. Scholars can delve into the nuanced impact of robotics on specific aspects of emotional intelligence, such as empathy, self-regulation, and social awareness. Research can explore the long-term effects of robotics integration on students' socio-emotional development, tracking their progress into higher education and the workforce. Additionally, there is a need for more in-depth studies on the intersection of cultural contexts and robotics in education. Future research could investigate how different cultural backgrounds influence students' responses to emotional cues displayed by robots and the effectiveness of socio-emotional learning in diverse educational settings.

Investigations into the development of standardized tools for assessing socio-emotional skills in the context of robotics integration would also contribute to the academic landscape. Developing reliable and valid assessment methods tailored to robotics-enhanced ESL can inform educators and policymakers about the effectiveness of their programs.

6.2. Technological Advances and Adaptive Platforms

The rapid evolution of technology presents exciting possibilities for the future of robotics in education. Advances in artificial intelligence, natural language processing, and affective computing can enhance the capabilities of educational robots. Future developments may include more sophisticated emotional expression by robots. These adaptive learning platforms tailor content to individual socio-emotional needs and intelligent systems that provide real-time feedback to students. Moreover, the integration of virtual and augmented reality technologies with robotics could create immersive learning experiences, allowing students to engage with socio-emotional scenarios in simulated environments. These technological advancements could further bridge the gap between virtual and real-world applications of emotional and social learning.

6.3. Global Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing

Future directions in robotics integration should emphasize global collaboration and knowledge sharing. Establishing networks that connect educators, researchers, and policymakers from different regions can facilitate the exchange of best practices, culturally responsive approaches, and innovative strategies. Collaborative initiatives can contribute to a shared repository of resources, curricular frameworks, and assessment tools, fostering a collective effort to enhance socio-emotional learning through robotics on a global scale. International collaborations can also promote a diverse perspective on the ethical considerations associated with robotics in education, acknowledging cultural variations and fostering a global conversation on responsible and equitable implementation.

6.4. Policy Development and Advocacy

The future of robotics in K-12 education hinges on robust policy development and advocacy efforts. Policymakers must actively engage with educators, researchers, and industry stakeholders to create guidelines that address ethical considerations, ensure equitable access, and support the long-term sustainability of robotics programs. Advocacy efforts should focus on raising awareness about the benefits of robotics-enhanced ESL, fostering public support, and garnering financial backing for research and implementation. Policymakers should work collaboratively with educational institutions to create an environment that encourages innovation while addressing the challenges associated with the integration of robotics.

6.5. Lifelong Learning and Beyond K-12 Education

As the impact of robotics in K-12 education becomes more evident, future directions should explore its extension into lifelong learning and higher education. Robotics can play a role in professional development programs, offering educators ongoing opportunities to refine their skills in integrating technology for socio-emotional learning. Additionally, higher education institutions can leverage robotics to enhance collaborative learning environments and prepare students for the socio-emotional demands of the workforce. Future research could investigate the transferability of socio-emotional skills acquired through robotics in K-12 education to real-world professional settings. Understanding the long-term implications of early exposure to robotics-enhanced ESL on individuals' emotional intelligence and interpersonal skills can inform educational pathways and career development.

6.6. Adaptive Learning Environments for Diverse Learners

Adapting robotics-enhanced ESL to meet the diverse needs of learners is an area ripe for exploration. Future research and development efforts should focus on creating adaptive learning environments that cater to different learning styles, cognitive abilities, and cultural backgrounds. This includes the design of robotics projects that can be customized to individual preferences and challenges, ensuring that the benefits of robotics integration are accessible to all students.

Furthermore, the integration of robotics could be explored as a means of addressing specific educational challenges, such as supporting students with learning disabilities, fostering inclusion, and promoting resilience in the face of socio-emotional struggles.

6.7. Continuous Evaluation and Iterative Improvement

The future of robotics integration in education necessitates a commitment to continuous evaluation and iterative improvement. Educational institutions should establish mechanisms for ongoing assessment of program effectiveness, collecting data on both technical and socio-emotional outcomes. The iterative refinement of curricula, teacher training programs, and assessment tools based on empirical evidence will contribute to the evolution and sustainability of robotics-enhanced ESL initiatives. Continuous evaluation should also encompass research on the long-term impact of robotics integration on students' personal and professional lives. Understanding how early exposure to robotics influences career choices, interpersonal relationships, and overall well-being is crucial for shaping future educational practices.

7. Conclusion

In conclusion, the integration of robotics into K-12 education for the enhancement of emotional and social learning marks a transformative journey towards cultivating well-rounded individuals equipped for the challenges of the 21st century. Through a comprehensive exploration of theoretical frameworks, implementation strategies, challenges, and future directions, it becomes evident that the intersection of robotics and socio-emotional development holds immense potential.

As educators, policymakers, and researchers embark on this innovative path, there is a collective responsibility to address ethical considerations, promote equity, and foster inclusive learning environments. The challenges outlined, such as privacy concerns, resource disparities, and cultural sensitivity, underscore the complexity of this endeavor. However, they also present opportunities for growth, collaboration, and the development of sustainable solutions. Looking ahead, technological advances, global collaboration, and adaptive learning environments stand as beacons guiding the future of robotics in education. The promise of lifelong learning, continuous evaluation, and the extension of robotics-enhanced ESL beyond K-12 education offers a vision of a dynamic educational landscape that evolves in tandem with societal needs.

In embracing these opportunities and meeting challenges head-on, we pave the way for a generation of students who not only excel academically but also navigate the intricate landscape of emotions, empathy, and social interactions with resilience and proficiency. The integration of robotics into K-12 education becomes not just a pedagogical innovation but a holistic approach to nurturing individuals who are not only technologically adept but also emotionally intelligent, socially competent, and prepared for the complexities of our interconnected world. As we step into the future, the marriage of robotics and socio-emotional learning holds the promise of shaping a generation capable of bridging the gap between technological prowess and human empathy.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Abdulwahed, M., & Nagy, Z. K. (2009). Applying Kolb's experiential learning cycle for laboratory education. *Journal of engineering education, 98*(3), 283-294.

[2] Adelekea, I. J., & Onyebuchib, C. N. (2023). CHALLENGES TEACHERS EXPERIENCE IN TEACHING ENGLISH SECOND LANGUAGE IN SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN THE NORTHWEST PROVINCE.

[3] Akgunduz, D., & Mesutoglu, C. (2021). STEM education for Industry 4.0 in technical and vocational high schools: Investigation of teacher professional development. *Science Education International, 32*(2), 172-181.

[4] Alimisis, D. (2019). Teacher training in educational robotics: The ROBOESL project paradigm. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning, 24*(2), 279-290.

[5] Allahyar, N., & Nazari, D. A. (2012). Potentiality of Vygotsky's sociocultural theory in exploring the role of teacher perceptions, expectations and interaction strategies. *Journal of Working Papers in Language Pedagogy*.

[6] Alves-Oliveira, P., Sequeira, P., Melo, F. S., Castellano, G., & Paiva, A. (2019). Empathic robot for group learning: A field study. *ACM Transactions on Human-Robot Interaction (THRI), 8*(1), 1-34.

[7] Aminoleslami, M. (2021). *Iranian EFL Teachers' Interdependence between Emotional Intelligence and Teacher Pedagogy: A Qualitative Case Study.* Northcentral University,

[8] Anwar, S., Bascou, N. A., Menekse, M., & Kardgar, A. (2019). A systematic review of studies on educational robotics. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER), 9*(2), 2.

[9] Azunna, C. (2020). Empowering women farmers through livelihood strengthening model in Eastern Nigeria. *Research, Society and Development, 9*(1), e33911503-e33911503.

[10] Back, T. (1996). *Evolutionary algorithms in theory and practice: evolution strategies, evolutionary programming, genetic algorithms*: Oxford university press.

[11] Bergsteiner, H., Avery, G. C., & Neumann, R. (2010). Kolb's experiential learning model: critique from a modelling perspective. *Studies in Continuing Education, 32*(1), 29-46.

[12] Bers, M., Seddighin, S., & Sullivan, A. (2013). Ready for robotics: Bringing together the T and E of STEM in early childhood teacher education. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 21*(3), 355-377.

[13] Biddy, Q., Chakarov, A. G., Bush, J., Elliott, C. H., Jacobs, J., Recker, M., . . . Penuel, W. (2021). A professional development model to integrate computational thinking into middle school science through codesigned storylines. *Contemporary issues in technology and teacher education, 21*(1), 53-96.

[14] Bower, G. G. (2013). Utilizing Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory to Implement a Golf Scramble. *International Journal of Sport Management, Recreation & Tourism, 12*.

[15] Bozhinoski, D., Di Ruscio, D., Malavolta, I., Pelliccione, P., & Crnkovic, I. (2019). Safety for mobile robotic systems: A systematic mapping study from a software engineering perspective. *Journal of Systems and Software, 151*, 150-179.

[16] Burke, P., & Lehane, P. (2023). Weaving the literature on integration, pedagogy and assessment: insights for curriculum and classrooms. Report 2.

[17] Chalmers, C. (2017). Preparing teachers to teach STEM through robotics. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education, 25*(4), 17-31.

[18] Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). *Assessment for experiential learning*: Taylor & Francis.

[19] Chernyshenko, O. S., Kankaraš, M., & Drasgow, F. (2018). Social and emotional skills for student success and well-being: Conceptual framework for the OECD study on social and emotional skills.

[20] Cholewinski, M. (2009). An introduction to constructivism and authentic activity. *Journal of the school of contemporary international studies Nagoya University of Foreign Studies, 5*, 283-316.

[21] Clarke-Midura, J., Silvis, D., Shumway, J. F., Lee, V. R., & Kozlowski, J. S. (2021). Developing a kindergarten computational thinking assessment using evidence-centered design: the case of algorithmic thinking. *Computer Science Education, 31*(2), 117-140.

[22] Cross, E. S., Hortensius, R., & Wykowska, A. (2019). From social brains to social robots: applying neurocognitive insights to human–robot interaction. In (Vol. 374, pp. 20180024): The Royal Society.

[23] De Greeff, J., & Belpaeme, T. (2015). Why robots should be social: Enhancing machine learning through social human-robot interaction. *PLoS one, 10*(9), e0138061.

[24] Denis, B., & Hubert, S. (2001). Collaborative learning in an educational robotics environment. *Computers in human behavior, 17*(5-6), 465-480.

[25] Devi, K. S. (2019). Constructivist approach to learning based on the concepts of Jean Piaget and lev Vygotsky. *the NCERT and no matter may be reproduced in any form without the prior permission of the NCERT, 44*(4), 5-19.

[26] Education, E. (2013). A Guide for Educators. *European Commission–DG Enterprise & Industry, Brussels*(s 6).

[27] Eguchi, A. (2017). Bringing robotics in classrooms. *Robotics in STEM education: Redesigning the learning experience*, 3-31.

[28] Ejiwale, J. A. (2013). Barriers to successful implementation of STEM education. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn), 7*(2), 63-74.

[29] Eloranta, E. (2021). *Affective Socially Assistive Robots in Primary Education: Exploration to the Design Space of Social and Emotional Learning Robots.*

[30] Encarnação, P., Leite, T., Nunes, C., Nunes da Ponte, M., Adams, K., Cook, A., . . . Ribeiro, M. (2017). Using assistive robots to promote inclusive education. *Disability and rehabilitation: Assistive technology, 12*(4), 352-372.

[31] Ewim, D. R. E. (2023). Integrating Business Principles in STEM Education: Fostering Entrepreneurship in Students and Educators in the US and Nigeria. *IJEBD (International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Development), 6*(4), 590-605.

[32] Fruja Amthor, R., & Roxas, K. (2016). Multicultural education and newcomer youth: Re-imagining a more inclusive vision for immigrant and refugee students. *Educational studies, 52*(2), 155-176.

[33] Grusec, J. E. (1994). Social learning theory and developmental psychology: The legacies of Robert R. Sears and Albert Bandura.

[34] Gürkanlı, C. H. (2018). *Exploring design requirements for educational robots used in K-12 education from educator's perspective.* Middle East Technical University,

[35] Hartmann, F., Baumgartner, M., & Kaltenbrunner, M. (2021). Becoming sustainable, the new frontier in soft robotics. *Advanced Materials, 33*(19), 2004413.

[36] Hinton, T. B. (2017). *An exploratory study of a robotics educational platform on STEM career interests in middle school students*: The University of Alabama.

[37] Hoerr, T. R. (2016). *The formative five: Fostering grit, empathy, and other success skills every student needs*: ASCD.

[38] Ihsan, I. (2023). The Challenges of Elementary Education in Society 5.0 Era. *International Journal of Social Learning (IJSL), 3*(3), 341-360.

[39] Jacobsen, M., Clifford, P., & Friesen, S. (2002). Preparing teachers for technology integration: Creating a culture of inquiry in the context of use. *Contemporary issues in technology and teacher education, 2*(3), 363-388.

[40] Jawaid, I., Javed, M. Y., Jaffery, M. H., Akram, A., Safder, U., & Hassan, S. (2020). Robotic system education for young children by collaborative-project-based learning. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education, 28*(1), 178-192.

[41] Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of educational research, 79*(1), 491-525.

[42] Jones, A., Lisciandro, J., & Olds, A. (2016). *Strategies for embedding socio-emotional learning as part of a holistic enabling transition pedagogy.* Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 3rd Biennial Conference of the Foundation and Bridging Educators New Zealand (FABENZ).

[43] Jordan, M. E., & McDaniel Jr, R. R. (2014). Managing uncertainty during collaborative problem solving in elementary school teams: The role of peer influence in robotics engineering activity. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 23*(4), 490-536.

[44] Kewalramani, S., Allen, K.-A., Leif, E., & Ng, A. (2023). A Scoping Review of the Use of Robotics Technologies for Supporting Social-Emotional Learning in Children with Autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 1-15.

[45] Kolb, D. A. (2014). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*: FT press.

[46] Kopcha, T., McGregor, J., Shin, S., Qian, Y., Choi, J., Hill, R., . . . Choi, I. (2017). Developing an integrative STEM curriculum for robotics education through educational design research. *Journal of Formative Design in Learning, 1*, 31-44.

[47] Kriegman, S., Cheney, N., & Bongard, J. (2018). How morphological development can guide evolution. *Scientific reports, 8*(1), 13934.

[48] Lin, P., Abney, K., & Bekey, G. A. (2014). *Robot ethics: the ethical and social implications of robotics*: MIT press.

[49] Lin, V., Yeh, H.-C., & Chen, N.-S. (2022). A systematic review on oral interactions in robot-assisted language learning. *Electronics, 11*(2), 290.

[50] Liu, J. (2013). *E-learning in English classroom: Investigating factors impacting on ESL (English as Second Language) college students' acceptance and use of the Modular Object-Oriented Dynamic Learning Environment (Moodle).* Iowa State University,

[51] Lobczowski, N. G. (2020). Bridging gaps and moving forward: Building a new model for socioemotional formation and regulation. *Educational Psychologist, 55*(2), 53-68.

[52] Louie, B., Björling, E. A., Kuo, A. C., & Alves-Oliveira, P. (2022). Designing for culturally responsive social robots: An application of a participatory framework. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI, 9*, 983408.

[53] Mahmud, A. (2019). *The role of emotional intelligence in the development of adolescents' social and emotional skills, abilities and academic performance after the transition to secondary school.* Middlesex University,

[54] Mahn, H., & John-Steiner, V. (2012). Vygotsky and sociocultural approaches to teaching and learning. *Handbook of Psychology, Second Edition, 7*.

[55] McGaghie, W. C., Issenberg, S. B., Petrusa, E. R., & Scalese, R. J. (2010). A critical review of simulation-based medical education research: 2003–2009. *Medical education, 44*(1), 50-63.

[56] Mirra, N. (2018). *Educating for empathy: Literacy learning and civic engagement*: Teachers College Press.

[57] Mohammed, P. S., & 'Nell'Watson, E. (2019). Towards inclusive education in the age of artificial intelligence: Perspectives, challenges, and opportunities. *Artificial Intelligence and Inclusive Education: Speculative futures and emerging practices*, 17-37.

[58] Mondi, C. F., Giovanelli, A., & Reynolds, A. J. (2021). Fostering socio-emotional learning through early childhood intervention. *International Journal of Child Care and Education Policy, 15*(1), 1-43.

[59] Olanike S, A., Asogwa, C. N., Njideka M, O., RE, E. D., & Temiloluwa O, S. (2023). A Comparison of Perceptions of Assessment Practices in Higher Institutions between Academic Staff and Students: A Case Study of Federal College of Education, Yola. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies, 10*(3).

[60] Olsen, A. Ø., Sofka, W., & Grimpe, C. (2016). Coordinated exploration for grand challenges: The role of advocacy groups in search consortia. *Academy of Management Journal, 59*(6), 2232-2255.

[61] Paiva, A., Leite, I., Boukricha, H., & Wachsmuth, I. (2017). Empathy in virtual agents and robots: A survey. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS), 7*(3), 1-40.

[62] Panhwar, A. H., Ansari, S., & Ansari, K. (2016). Sociocultural theory and its role in the development of language pedagogy. *Advances in language and literary studies, 7*(6), 183-188.

[63] Papadakis, S., & Kalogiannakis, M. (2020). *Handbook of research on using educational robotics to facilitate student learning*: IGI Global.

[64] Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development.

[65] Peterson, A., Dumont, H., Lafuente, M., & Law, N. (2018). Understanding innovative pedagogies: Key themes to analyse new approaches to teaching and learning.

[66] Rechtschaffen, D. (2014). *The way of mindful education: Cultivating well-being in teachers and students*: WW Norton & Company.

[67] Rockland, R., Bloom, D. S., Carpinelli, J., Burr-Alexander, L., Hirsch, L. S., & Kimmel, H. (2010). Advancing the. *Journal of Technology Studies, 36*(1), 53-64.

[68] Rodriguez, V., Lynneth Solis, S., Mascio, B., Kiely Gouley, K., Jennings, P. A., & Brotman, L. M. (2020). With awareness comes competency: The five awarenesses of teaching as a framework for understanding teacher social-emotional competency and well-being. *Early education and Development, 31*(7), 940-972.

[69] Rosenthal, T. L., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2014). *Social learning and cognition*: Academic Press.

[70] Rumjaun, A., & Narod, F. (2020). Social Learning Theory—Albert Bandura. *Science education in theory and practice: An introductory guide to learning theory*, 85-99.

[71] Salah, M., Abdelfattah, F., Alhalbusi, H., & Al Mukhaini, M. (2023). Me and My AI Bot: Exploring the'AIholic'Phenomenon and University Students' Dependency on Generative AI Chatbots-Is This the New Academic Addiction?

[72] Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, cognition and personality, 9*(3), 185-211.

[73] Scaradozzi, D., Screpanti, L., & Cesaretti, L. (2019). Towards a definition of educational robotics: a classification of tools, experiences and assessments. *Smart Learning with Educational Robotics: Using Robots to Scaffold Learning Outcomes*, 63-92.

[74] Schranz, M., Umlauft, M., Sende, M., & Elmenreich, W. (2020). Swarm robotic behaviors and current applications. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 36.

[75] Scott, M. (2005). *A socio-educational analysis of multi-disciplinary programmes for learners with emotional barriers to learning: towards a model for prevention, intervention and support.*

[76] Sen, C., Ay, Z. S., & Kiray, S. A. (2021). Computational thinking skills of gifted and talented students in integrated STEM activities based on the engineering design process: The case of robotics and 3D robot modeling. *Thinking Skills and Creativity, 42*, 100931.

[77] Smakman, M., Berket, J., & Konijn, E. A. (2020). *The impact of social robots in education: Moral considerations of dutch educational policymakers.* Paper presented at the 2020 29th IEEE International Conference on Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN).

[78] Smakman, M., Vogt, P., & Konijn, E. A. (2021). Moral considerations on social robots in education: A multi-stakeholder perspective. *Computers & Education, 174*, 104317.

[79] Stork, M. G. (2020). Supporting twenty-first century competencies using robots and digital storytelling. *Journal of Formative Design in Learning, 4*(1), 43-50.

[80] Stowell, M. S. (2017). *Student perception of emotional intelligence on College Success.* Northcentral University,

[81] Sullivan, A., Strawhacker, A., & Bers, M. U. (2017). Dancing, drawing, and dramatic robots: Integrating robotics and the arts to teach foundational STEAM concepts to young children. *Robotics in STEM education: Redesigning the learning experience*, 231-260.

[82] Tichnor-Wagner, A., Parkhouse, H., Glazier, J., & Cain, J. M. (2019). *Becoming a globally competent teacher*: Ascd.

[83] Tolksdorf, N. F., Siebert, S., Zorn, I., Horwath, I., & Rohlfing, K. J. (2021). Ethical considerations of applying robots in kindergarten settings: Towards an approach from a macroperspective. *International Journal of Social Robotics, 13*, 129-140.

[84] Venter, E. (2001). A constructivist approach to learning and teaching. *South African journal of higher education, 15*(2), 86-92.

[85] Warren, J. L. (2023). Digital and interactive technologies for children's mental health and socio-emotional wellbeing: Exploring potential, gaps, and design opportunities.

[86] Yi, H. (2019). Robotics and kinetic design for underrepresented minority (URM) students in building education: Challenges and opportunities. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education, 27*(2), 351-370.

[87] Yuen, T., Boecking, M., Stone, J., Tiger, E. P., Gomez, A., Guillen, A., & Arreguin, A. (2014). Group tasks, activities, dynamics, and interactions in collaborative robotics projects with elementary and middle school children. *Journal of STEM Education, 15*(1).